

United States District Court Southern District of Texas

ENTERED

August 18, 2021
Nathan Ochsner, Clerk

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS CORPUS CHRISTI DIVISION

JOSEPH SOLIS,	§
Plaintiff,	§ 8
VS.	§ CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:19-CV-372
	§
FELIX C ANAZOR, et al.,	§
	§
Defendants.	§

ORDER ADOPTING MEMORANDUM AND RECOMMENDATION

Before the Court is Magistrate Judge Julie Hampton's Memorandum and Recommendation ("M&R"). (D.E. 37). The M&R recommends that Plaintiff's lawsuit be dismissed without prejudice under Rule 41(b) for failure to prosecute. *Id.* at 3.

The parties were provided proper notice of, and the opportunity to object to, the Magistrate Judge's M&R.¹ See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); FED. R. CIV. P. 72(b); General Order No. 2002-13. No objection has been filed. When no timely objection has been filed, the district court need only determine whether the Magistrate Judge's M&R is clearly erroneous or contrary to law. *United States v. Wilson*, 864 F.2d 1219, 1221 (5th Cir. 1989) (per curiam); *Powell v. Litton Loan Servicing, LP*, No. CIV. A. H-14-2700, 2015 WL 3823141, at *1 (S.D. Tex. June 18, 2015).

Having carefully reviewed the proposed findings and conclusions of the Magistrate Judge, the filings of the parties, the record, and the applicable law, and finding that the M&R is not clearly erroneous or contrary to law, the Court **ADOPTS** the M&R in its entirety. (D.E.

1/2

¹ Plaintiff's mail was returned as undeliverable. (D.E. 39; D.E. 40). Since then, the Court has waited an appropriate amount of time for an updated address. As a party, Plaintiff is required to keep the Court apprised of his current address at all times and has failed to do so.

37). Accordingly, the Court DISMISSES without prejudice Plaintiff's lawsuit under Rule

41(b) for failure to prosecute.

SO ORDERED.

DAVID S. MORALES

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Dated: Corpus Christi, Texas August 18, 2021